

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/518,338	03/03/2000	Eugene H. Cloud	303.663US1	5591	
21186	7590 08/22/2002				
	AN, LUNDBERG,	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO	38 LIS, MN 55402		NGUYEN, THAN VINH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2187		
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

					4
_		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			8,338	CLOUD, EUGENE	: н. /
			iner	Art Unit	
			Nguyen	2187	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this commun I for Reply	ication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
TH - 8 - 1 - 1 - 6 - 4	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum star ailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply my reply received by the Office later than three months a armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In result in the state of the	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of and will expire SIX (6) No e application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>19 <i>June 20</i></u>	<u>02</u> .		
2a)[	<u> </u>	2b)☐ This action			
3)[ Dispo	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract sition of Claims				e merits is
4)[	$\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the a	application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from	consideration.		
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.				
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	on requirement.		
Applic	ation Papers				
9)[	$\Box$ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b	) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obj			•	
11)[	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed	d on is: a)[	☐ approved b)匚	disapproved by the Examin	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are red	quired in reply to this	s Office action.		
12)[	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.			
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	/ under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have l	peen received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have l	been received in	Application No	
	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Intern     See the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)	)).	Stage
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for				application).
	a)  The translation of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	guage provisiona	l application has	been received.	
Attachn			.,	33 120 WHATOI 121.	
1) 🛭 N 2) 🔲 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PTo	

Application/Control Number: 09/518,338 Page 2

Art Unit: 2187

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This is a response to the amendment, filed 6/19/02.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are pending.
- 3. In response to amendment, the previous objections to claims 15,16,20 are withdrawn.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant has added new limitations to the claims which requires new consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dye (USP 6,145,069).

As to claims 1,2,4-12,18-26,29-32:

7. Dye teaches a flash memory system and its method of operation having processor (MPU 400; Figure 3) a main memory (flash memory array 100; Figure 3); a cache/static memory connected to the main memory (SRAM cache/buffer 160, Figure 3; 8/20-9/45); a compression

Application/Control Number: 09/518,338

Page 3

Art Unit: 2187

(260; Figure 3) and decompression engine (280; Figure 3); an error detection and correction engine (220; Figure 3); and I/O buffer (SRAM cache/buffer 160 buffers input from bus 118).

Although Dye uses flash memory instead of volatile memory, it is common knowledge that volatile memory (RAM/DRAM) can be substituted for nonvolatile memory when it is not desired/required to retain data upon power loss and when cost is an issue because flash memories are more expensive than RAM/DRAMs (this is recognized by Dye; 1/30-37). In fact, common storage systems use DRAM because flash memory is cost prohibited. Flash memory is mainly used where data non-volatility is required by the system (these systems are much more expensive). Thus, it would have been obvious to substitute nonvolatile memory for the flash memory of Dye when data non-volatility and memory cost are not required by the system.

Dye does not specifically teach the main memory, buffer, cache memory, and compression and decompression engine are integrated in a single chip. It has been held that to make integral is not generally given patentable weight. Note <u>In re Larson</u> 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA1965). Furthermore In re Tomoyuki Kohno 157 USPQ 275 (CCPA 1968) states that to integrate electrical components onto a unitary, one piece structure (base plate -- or circuit board) would be obvious. It is also well-known in the arts to integrate components onto a single chip to decrease distance between elements and allows for faster access, decreasing the size of the overall system space and power requirements. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the main memory, buffer, cache

Application/Control Number: 09/518,338

Art Unit: 2187

memory, and compression and decompression engine on a single chip to provide for a faster, smaller, and less expensive system.

Page 4

As to claim 3:

8. Dye does not specifically teach integrating everything in the same chip. It is well-known

in the art to integrate multiple devices onto a single chip to save space and costs. Thus, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the

memory device onto a single chip to save space and manufacturing costs. Furthermore In re

Tomoyuki Kohno 157 USPQ 275 (CCPA 1968) states that to integrate electrical components

onto a unitary, one piece structure (base plate -- or circuit board) would be obvious. It is also

well-known in the arts to integrate components onto a single chip to decrease distance between

elements and allows for faster access, decreasing the size of the overall system space and power

requirements.

As to claims 15-17:

Dye teaches a flash memory system and its method of operation having processor (MPU 9.

400; Figure 3) a main memory (flash memory array 100; Figure 3); a cache memory connected to

the main memory (SRAM cache/buffer 160, Figure 3; 8/20-9/45); a compression (260; Figure 3)

and decompression engine (280; Figure 3); an error detection and correction engine (220; Figure

3); and I/O buffer (SRAM cache/buffer 160 buffers input from bus 118).

Although Dye uses flash memory instead of volatile memory, it is common knowledge that

volatile memory (RAM/DRAM) can be substituted for nonvolatile memory when it is not

Application/Control Number: 09/518,338

Art Unit: 2187

desired/required to retain data upon power loss and when cost is an issue because flash memories are more expensive than RAM/DRAMs (this is recognized by Dye; 1/30-37). In fact, common storage systems use DRAM because flash memory is cost prohibited. Flash memory is mainly used where data non-volatility is required by the system (these systems are much more expensive). Thus, it would have been obvious to substitute nonvolatile memory for the flash memory of Dye when data non-volatility and memory cost are not required by the system.

Page 5

Dye does not specifically teach integrating every thing in the same chip. It is well-known in the art to integrate multiple devices onto a single chip to save space and costs. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the memory device onto a single chip to save space and manufacturing costs. Furthermore In re

Tomoyuki Kohno 157 USPQ 275 (CCPA 1968) states that to integrate electrical components onto a unitary, one piece structure (base plate -- or circuit board) would be obvious. It is also well-known in the arts to integrate components onto a single chip to decrease distance between elements and allows for faster access, decreasing the size of the overall system space and power requirements.

As to claims 13,14,27,28:

10. Dye does not specifically teach having a graphic/video control card connected to the memory device. However, he does suggests the use of such graphic device because he indicated that the data compression/decompression processor could be use for graphical compression and decompression. Thus, this suggests that the data input to the compression/decompression engine

Application/Control Number: 09/518,338 Page 6

Art Unit: 2187

is a graphical device. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to use advantageously use Dyes invention to compress and decompress data from a graphic device/control card, as suggested by Dye.

### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Than Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3866.

Art Unit: 2187

13. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Than Nguyen

August 16, 2002